

Do You Think It Is Inconceivable That Google, Facebook and Twitter Were Created To Manipulate Public Opinion? Think Again!

Mon, 09 May 2016 17:00:00, newstips66, [post_tag: do-you-think-it-is-inconceivable-that-google, category: energy-dept-slush-fund, post_tag: facebook-and-twitter-were-created-to-manipulate-public-opinion-think-again, category: facebook-meta, category: google-alphabet, post_tag: google-defamation-documents, post_tag: news-clippings-on-google, post_tag: the-google-case, category: worldnews]

Do You Think It Is Inconceivable That Google, Facebook and Twitter Were Created To Manipulate Public Opinion? Think Again!

- Did Campaign Financiers Attempt A “Digital Coup” of U.S. Government Policy Offices By Rigging The Top 5 Internet Publishers?

- Do the “Silicon 5” operate under a complete violation of the public trust?

- Is it a coincidence that the “Silicon 5” are both the largest Campaign donors, the largest recipients of taxpayer cash from those campaign’s candidates and the largest recipients of revolving door government payola jobs?

- Google, Facebook, Twitter, LinkedIn, Apple = The Silicon 5 campaign financiers

- Silicon 5 gave billions of dollars of un-disclosed, illegal campaign financing as “services” such as search engine rigging, web mood manipulation and news distribution rigging

Facebook’s hypocrisy

[Adriana Cohen](#)

We all know about communist regimes suppressing the free speech of their people. Whoever thought it could happen here in the land of the free?

A bombshell report by Gizmodo, a trusted technology news source, suggests Stalin-worthy airbrushing and suppression of free speech is, in fact, happening here. Quoting former Facebook employees, Gizmodo says that the social media giant has been routinely censoring conservative news topics and political content from appearing in its highly viewed “Trending News” section.

These ex-Facebook employees, known as “curators,” manage this highly important news feed section viewed by millions. And now they’ve come forward saying that Facebook deliberately blocked news stories about CPAC, Mitt Romney, Glenn Beck, Rand Paul and other political topics of interest from being displayed among its top trending stories.

“Depending on who was on shift, things would be blacklisted or trending,” Gizmodo quoted one former curator saying.

Up until now, Facebook users have been led to believe that “Trending News” stories landed there “organically,” buoyed by massive public discourse. Now we’ve learned they’ve been actively squelching political speech much like the state-run media operations in totalitarian North Korea and China do.

Not only are we told that Facebook curators blocked speech they simply didn’t like, they artificially inserted topics in the “Trending Now” section that were never trending to begin with. The disappearance of Malaysia Airlines flight MH370 and the Charlie Hebdo attacks in Paris were two such cases.

Talk about a violation of trust, not to mention a blow to our fundamental expectation of a free and honest flow of information — the kind the founding fathers had in mind when they drafted the First Amendment, rather than the carefully crafted distortions Mao and Lenin preferred.

Facebook issued a statement denying the Gizmodo report. But whether they’re telling us the truth is very much in doubt. What we do know is that Facebook’s ultra-liberal founder, Mark Zuckerberg, has a political agenda and doesn’t think twice about expressing it publicly.

It’s ironic that social media networks claim they can’t shut down all the radical Islamist terror groups recruiting and radicalizing on their sites, but when it comes to suppressing conservative free speech, it appears they’ve magically found a way.

This should send a chill up every American’s spine because if one social media network can censor free speech and manipulate the content in our news feeds to advance a political agenda, so can others.

Buyer ☐beware.

Adriana Cohen is a Boston Herald columnist and co-host of "Herald Drive" airing 6-9 a.m. weekdays on Boston Herald Radio. Follower on Twitter ☐@AdrianaCohen16.

What If Facebook and Google Built Your Roads?

The People That Pave All Of The Roads Don't Get To Paint Their Friends Logos on All Of The Roads, So Why Should Facebook and Google Get to Manipulate Public Thoroughfares Like that?

What if you found out that the construction company that paves all of the roads in your city was putting their brother's beer logo on every road and burying sensors under every road in order to spy on you and sell the information about you to strange third-parties?

Would you feel a little raped because you also found out that they get a big part of your tax money in government contracts and subsidies?

This is exactly what Facebook and Google are doing! Facebook and Google have created a monopoly to run the road ways of the internet. They have taken YOUR public digital roads and exploited them against you in order to rig information for their billionaire owners insane ideas and whims, at your expense.

<http://dailycaller.com/2016/05/09/facebook-made-black-lives-matter-trend-by-inserting-it-into-news-feeds/>

<http://www.weeklystandard.com/facebook-suppression-story-trending-on-twitter-not-facebook/article/2002318>

<http://thehill.com/policy/technology/279192-report-facebook-trending-list-omitted-conservative-topics>

<http://gizmodo.com/former-facebook-workers-we-routinely-suppressed-conser-1775461006>

<http://www.informationliberation.com/?id=54775>

<https://t.co/jY1Lm3Y4cP>

https://www.reddit.com/r/news/comments/4ijkew/former_facebook_workers_we_routinely_suppressed/

<http://mobile.nytimes.com/2014/06/30/technology/facebook-tinkers-with-users-emotions-in-news-feed-experiment-stirring-outcry.html?referer=>

<https://www.rt.com/usa/202019-facebook-user-manipulation-election/>

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=abKEbP4P0jQ&list=PLmv_j2CumRFWYcvlDIMnMhJbVYeuLJyr

http://www.theregister.co.uk/2010/05/14/facebook_trust_dumb/

https://www.ted.com/talks/eli_pariser_beware_online_filter_bubbles?language=en

<http://www.usatoday.com/story/news/nation/2016/05/09/americans-listed-in-panama-papers/84160016/>

[How Google Could Rig the 2016 Election - POLITICO Magazine](#)

[cached](#)

America's next president could be eased into office not just by TV ads or speeches, but by Google's secret decisions, and no one—except for ...

[http://www.politico.com/magazine/story\[...\].gle-could-rig-the-2016-election-121548](http://www.politico.com/magazine/story[...].gle-could-rig-the-2016-election-121548)

White House Hit With Lawsuit Alleging Systematic Obstruction Of Transparency

Ethan Barton

U.S. President Barack Obama speaks as he and German Chancellor Angela Merkel hold a news conference at Schloss Herrenhausen in Hanover, Germany April 24, 2016. REUTERS/Kevin Lamarque

A government watchdog dropped a lawsuit Monday targeting 11 federal agencies, the Office of the White House Counsel and White House Counsel Neil Eggleston.

Cause of Action Institute filed the suit ["to end the Obama administration's practice of delaying government responses to Freedom of Information Act \(FOIA\) requests"](#) that the administration considers politically sensitive or embarrassing," the group wrote in a statement.

"The Obama administration, however, has interfered in the FOIA process in ways that violate the statute and hinder its purpose of federal transparency," the statement said. [\(RELATED: The 'Most Transparent Administration in History' Lobbied Heavily Against FOIA Reform\)](#)

President Barack Obama said he would lead the "most transparent administration" in history, but "his actions have not matched such rhetoric," Cause of Action Institute wrote. [\(RELATED: New Data Shows Obama Least Transparent Prez Ever\)](#)

A 2009 memo ordered all federal agencies to consult with the White House before producing documents that involve "White House equities." Consequently, the White House requires consultations whenever documents are politically sensitive or potentially embarrassing.

"The results of these consultations is that agency FOIA productions are delayed precisely when prompt disclosure is most important," Cause of Action Institute wrote. "The consultation process can take months or even years."

"[D]elaying FOIA productions based on potential political consequences violates both the letter and the spirit of FOIA," the watchdog continued.

The lawsuit includes the departments of Defense, the Interior, Energy, Health and Human Services, Homeland Security, Justice, State, Transportation, Treasury and the Environmental Protection Agency. [\(RELATED: Too Many Information Request Bog Down Agencies, Officials Say\)](#)

FOIA has been repeatedly obstructed in a variety of other ways under the Obama administration, and even lead to [a bizarre congressional hearing where lawmakers heard critical testimony from affected journalists](#).

[Follow Ethan on Twitter](#)

Content created by The Daily Caller News Foundation is available without charge to any eligible news publisher that can provide a large audience. For licensing opportunities of our original content, please contact licensing@dailycallernewsfoundation.org.

Tags: [Cause of Action Institute](#), [Freedom of Information Act](#), [Investigative](#)

Read more: <http://dailycaller.com/2016/05/09/white-house-hit-with-suit-alleging-systematic-obstruction-of-transparency/#ixzz48GrhryL>